

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE/ United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/626,220 | 07/24/2003 | Ryan Patrick Mackin | 16450-US | 9661 |
| 7590 09/21/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Kevin J. Moriarty | | | PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C | |
| Patent Departm | | | APTIBUT | D. DED 182 (DED |
| DEERE & COMPANY | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| One John Deere Place | | | 3671 | |
| Moline, IL 61265-8098 | | | DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 4 | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/626,220 | MACKIN ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Meredith C Petravick | 3671 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | • | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second se | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,6 and 9-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 7/24/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/2003. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/626,220

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 11 and 13 objected to because of the following informalities: All of these claims contain the limitation "the infeed element." This limitation lacks antecedent basis in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Drayer 3,827,443.

Drayer discloses a harvester having a rotor. The rotor comprises an extending drum (74) with a crop processing section, having crop processing elements. The crop processing elements comprise:

- a support structure (104 and 102) attached to the rotor
- a crop engaging portion (110) extending up from the support structure
- an infeed element attachment feature (118) extending from the support structure

Regarding claim 2, the rearward portion of the infeed element is attached to the infeed element attachment feature (Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/626,220

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding claim 3, the infeed element is a helical infeed flight (114).

Regarding claim 5, the crop engaging portion sweeps a cylindrical path upon rotation of the rotor.

4. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McMillen et al. 4,148,323.

McMillen et al. discloses a harvester having a rotor. The rotor comprises an extending drum (74) with a crop processing section, having crop processing elements. The rotor also has a frusto-conical portion. The crop processing elements comprise:

- a support structure (47) attached to the rotor
- a crop engaging portion (48 and 63) that sweeps a cylindrical path upon rotation.

Regarding claim 8, the crop processing section is a threshing section.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 4, 6 and 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and to overcome any objections above.
- 6. Claims 12-14 are allowed if claim 13 is corrected to overcome any objections above.

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith C Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 a.m.- 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Meredith C Petravick
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3671

September 17, 2004